

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,312	03/12/2004	Juan Carlos Martinez	07781.0156-00	1750
22852 7590 12/10/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			SHIU, HO T	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		4152	
				<u>, </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/800,312	MARTINEZ ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		HO SHIU	4152		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. IN INSIDE THE MAILING D. IN INDICATE THE MAILING D. IN IN INDICATE THE MAILING D. IN INDICATE T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. By be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	larch 2004.			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٠	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		•		
	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application				
7)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,			
	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected.	. *			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<u> </u>		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	a.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er,			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	/		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
			AMU		
			SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER		
Attachmen		🗖	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>01 July 2005</u> .		rmal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 4152

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-49 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

- 2. With respect to claim 28 "(, via a translation code procedure?,)" is being recited. For examination purposes, "(, via a translation code procedure?,)" is not considered as it seems to be notes that was left but was not meant to be in the claim.
- 3. With respect to claim 34 "(?)" is being recited. For examination purposes, "(?)" is not considered as it seems to be notes that was left but was not meant to be in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 23-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. With respect to claims 23-44, "computer program product" is being recited. A computer program product is not one of the categories of statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.01

أ ويد فيراً

Art Unit: 4152

7. With respect to claim 45, "a client-server computer system capable of automatically configuring a translation code" is being recited. The client-server computer system comprises of components that are software related which is not one of the categories of statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.01

8. With respect to claims 46-49, they are dependent claims of claim 45. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons in claim 45 above as not one of the categories of statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.01

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 14 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. With respect to claim 14, the word "master" as related to the data between the server and the client does not define the data between the server and the client. For examination purposes, master data between the server and the client is interpreted as data between the server and the client.

Art Unit: 4152

12. With respect to claim 36, the word "master" as related to the data between the server and the client does not define the data between the server and the client. For examination purposes, master data between the server and the client is interpreted as data between the server and the client.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1-6, 8-18, 20-28, 30-40, 42-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (Pub # US 2002/0092004 A1, hereinafter Lee).
- 12. With respect to claim 1, Lee discloses a computer-implemented method for automatically configuring a translation code, the method comprising the steps of: translating data within a server into a data format required by a client using the translation code ([0036], lines 1-4, [0035], lines 3-8); transmitting the translated data from the server to the client ([0039], lines 5-9); transmitting a change of the data format from the client to the server in a data object definition message ([0036], lines 1-3); and

Art Unit: 4152

automatically adapting the translation code to the changed data format upon receipt of the data object definition message ([0039], lines 1-7).

- 13. With respect to claim 2, Lee discloses wherein the data object definition message is automatically transmitted from the client to the server upon change of the data format within the client ([0036], lines 1-4, [0056], lines 15-19).
- 14. With respect to claim 3, Lee discloses wherein the translation code is adapted to the changed data format within a translation code generator upon receipt of the data object definition message ([0036], lines 1-4).
- 15. With respect to claim 4, Lee discloses wherein the translated data is transmitted from the server to the client using a standard object description language ([0039], lines 1-7).
- 16. With respect to claim 5, Lee discloses wherein the data object definition message is transmitted from the client to the server using a standard object description language ([0036], lines 15-19).
- 17. With respect to claim 6, Lee discloses wherein the data format required by the client is extracted and translated from the stored data by the translation code prior to

Art Unit: 4152

sending the translated data from the server to the client ([0035], lines 3-8, [0036], lines 1-4).

- 18. With respect to claim 8, Lee discloses wherein the server provides a data object definition message format ([0036], lines 1-4, [0037], lines 10-14).
- 19. With respect to claim 9, Lee discloses further comprising the step of managing access to the server by the data object definition messages via an authorization management procedure ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7).
- 20. With respect to claim 10, Lee discloses further comprising the step of managing data formats of different clients via a version management procedure ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7, [0072], lines 8-10, lines 15-17).
- 21. With respect to claim 11, Lee discloses wherein, upon change of the data format, the server requests the data object definition message from the client and the client transmits the data object definition message upon request to the server ([0056], lines 1-4, lines 10-19).
- 22. With respect to claim 12, Lee discloses wherein the server automatically detects changes in the data format of data associated with the server (0056), lines 17-19).

Art Unit: 4152

23. With respect to claim 13, Lee discloses The computer-implemented method of claim 12, wherein the changes in the data format are detected by version identification ([0056], lines 17-19).

- 24. With respect to claim 14, Lee discloses wherein the changes in the data format are detected during an exchange of master data between the server and the client ([0057], lines 5-12).
- 25. With respect to claim 15, Lee discloses wherein the translation code is adapted to the changed data format within a translation code generator upon reception of the data object definition message ([0063], lines 1-10).
- 26. With respect to claim 16, Lee discloses wherein the translated data is transmitted from the server to the client using a standard object description language ([0039], lines 1-7).
- 27. With respect to claim 17, Lee discloses wherein the data object definition message is transmitted from the client to the server using a standard object description language ([0036], lines 15-19).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,312

Art Unit: 4152

28. With respect to claim 18, Lee discloses wherein the data required by the client is extracted and translated from the stored data by the translation code prior to sending the translated data from the server to the client ([0035], lines 3-8, [0036], lines 1-4).

Page 8

- 29. With respect to claim 20, Lee discloses wherein the server provides a data object definition message format ([0036], lines 1-4, [0037], lines 10-14).
- 30. With respect to claim 21, Lee discloses further comprising the step of managing access to the server by the data object definition messages via an authorization management procedure ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7).
- 31. With respect to claim 22, Lee discloses further comprising the step of managing data formats of different clients via a version management procedure ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7, [0072], lines 8-10, lines 15-17).
- 32. With respect to claim 23, Lee discloses a computer program product readable by a computer and embodying a program of instructions capable of automatically configuring a translation code, the program comprising instructions operable to cause the computer to perform the steps of:

translating data into a data format required by a client using a translation code within the server ([0036], lines 1-4, [0035], lines 3-8);

transmitting the translated data from the server to the client ([0039], lines 5-9);

Application/Control Number: 10/800,312

Art Unit: 4152

transmitting a change of the data format from the client to the server via a data object definition message [0036], lines 1-3); and automatically adapting the translation code to the changed data format upon the server's reception of the data object definition message ([0039], lines 1-7).

Page 9

- 33. With respect to claim 24, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to automatically transmit the data object definition message from the client to the server upon change of the data format within the client ([0036], lines 1-4, [0056], lines 15-19).
- 34. With respect to claim 25, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to adapt the translation code to the changed data format within a translation code generator upon reception of the data object definition message ([0036], lines 1-4).
- 35. With respect to claim 26, Lee wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to transmit the translated data from the server to the client using a standard object description language ([0039], lines 1-7).
- 36. With respect to claim 27, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to transmit the data object definition

Art Unit: 4152

message from the client to the server using a standard object description language ([0036], lines 15-19).

- 37. With respect to claim 28, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to extract and translate, the data required by the client from the stored data prior to sending the translated data from the server to the client ([0035], lines 3-8, [00036], lines 1-4).
- 38. With respect to claim 30, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to provide, via the server, a data object definition message format ([0036], lines 1-4, [0037], lines 10-14).
- 39. With respect to claim 31, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to manage, via an authorization management process, access to the server by the data object definition messages ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7).
- 40. With respect to claim 32, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to manage, via a version management procedure, data formats of different clients ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7, [0072], lines 8-10, lines 15-17).

Art Unit: 4152

41. With respect to claim 33, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer, upon change of the data format, to initiate a server request for the data object definition message from the client and to transmit the data object definition message upon request from the client to the server ([0056], lines 1-4, lines 10-19).

- 42. With respect to claim 34, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to automatically detect changes in the data format by the server ([0056], lines 17-19).
- 43. With respect to claim 35, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to detect the changes in the data format by use of a version identification procedure ([0056], lines 17-19).
- 44. With respect to claim 36, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to detect the changes in the data format during an exchange of master data between the server and the client ([0057], lines 5-12).
- 45. With respect to claim 37, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to adapt the translation code to the

Art Unit: 4152

changed data format within a translation code generator upon reception of the data object definition message ([0063], lines 1-10).

- 46. With respect to claim 38, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to transmit the translated data from the server to the client using a standard object description language ([0036], lines 15-19).
- 47. With respect to claim 39, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to transmit the data object definition message from the client to the server using a standard object description language ([0036], lines 15-19).
- 48. With respect to claim 40, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to extract and translate the data required by the client from the stored data, via a translation code procedure, prior to sending the translated data from the server to the client ([0035], lines 3-8, [0036], lines 1-4).
- 49. With respect to claim 42, Lee discloses the program further comprising instructions operable to cause the computer to provide, via the server, a data object definition message format ([0039], lines 1-5, 0066], lines 6-7).

Art Unit: 4152

50. With respect to claim 43, Lee discloses, wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to manage, via an authorization management procedure, access to the server by the data object definition messages ([00039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7).

- 51. With respect to claim 44, Lee discloses wherein the program further comprises instructions operable to cause the computer to manage, via a version management procedure, data formats of different clients ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7, [0072], lines 8-10, lines 15-17).
- 52. With respect to claim 45, Lee discloses a client-server computer system capable of automatically configuring a translation code, the system comprising:
 a code generator ([0034], lines 1-2), associated with the server ([0034], lines 3-5), that provides the translation code ([0036], lines 1-4) and that includes a subcomponent that adapts the translation code automatically to a change of data format upon receipt of a data object definition message ([0036], lines 1-4); and means, which uses the translation code provided by the code generator, for translating data into a data format required by a client ([0036], lines 1-4, [0035], lines 3-8); wherein translated data and the change of data format with the data object definition message are transmitted from the server to the client ([0039], lines 5-9, [0036], lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 4152

53. With respect to claim 46, Lee discloses wherein the translating means extracts the information required by the client from the data prior to sending the translated data from the server to the client ([0035], lines 3-9, [0036], lines 1-4).

- 54. With respect to claim 47, Lee discloses further comprising a managing procedure that manages the data format of the data object definition message ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7).
- 55. With respect to claim 48, Lee discloses further comprising an access control procedure that controls access to the server by the data object definition messages ([0039], lines 1-5, [0066], lines 6-7, [0072], lines 8-10, lines 15-17).
- 56. With respect to claim 409, Lee discloses further comprising a detection procedure that automatically detects changes in the data format ([0036], lines 1-4, [0056], lines 15-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

57. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 4152

58. Claims 7, 19, 29, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claims 1, 2, 11, 23, 24, 33 in view of Salter et al. (US Pub # 2004/0010753 A1, hereinafter Salter).

59. With respect to claim 7, Lee discloses the claimed invention except where the translation code uses XSL for translating the data into said the data format required by the client.

In the same field of endeavor, Salter discloses where the translation code uses XSL for translating the data into said the data format required by the client ([0014], lines 2-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee with the teachings of Salter in order to generate the data presentation file so it is able to display the XML files to an html page.

60. With respect to claim 19, Lee discloses the claimed invention except where the translation code uses XSL for translating the data into the data format used by the client.

In the same field of endeavor, Salter discloses where the translation code uses XSL for translating the data into the data format used by the client ([0014], lines 2-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee with the teachings of Art Unit: 4152

Salter in order to generate the data presentation file so it is able to display the XML files to an html page.

61. With respect to claim 29, Lee discloses the claimed invention except to use XSL in the translation code for translating the data into the data format used by the client.

In the same field of endeavor, Salter discloses to use XSL in the translation code for translating the data into the data format used by the client. ([0014], lines 2-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee with the teachings of Salter in order to generate the data presentation file so it is able to display the XML files to an html page.

62. With respect to claim 41, Lee discloses the claimed invention except to use XSL in the translation code for translating the data into the data format used by the client.

In the same field of endeavor, Salter discloses to use XSL in the translation code for translating the data into the data format used by the client. ([0014], lines 2-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee with the teachings of Salter in order to generate the data presentation file so it is able to display the XML files to an html page.

Art Unit: 4152

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ho Ting Shiu whose telephone number is 571-270-3810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nabil El-Hady can be reached on 571-272-3963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HTS 11/26/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER